

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/855,153

Applicant(s)

REITER ET AL.

Examiner

Larry R. Helms

Art Unit

1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Larry R. Helms.

(3) Dr. Lithgow.

(2) Ms. Adiano.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 70.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed support for claim 70 as far as a fusion protein of PSCA and amino acids. The examiner advised Ms. Adriano that the examiner would check with SPE Caputa for support and would contact her the next day.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/855,153

Applicant(s)

REITER ET AL.

Examiner

Larry R. Helms

Art Unit

1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Larry R. Helms.

(3) Dr. Lithgow.

(2) Ms. Adriano.

(4) Anthony Caputa.

Date of Interview: 3/21 and 3/25.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amended claim 70.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed support for new amendment to claim 70 for amino acids and Ms. Adriano and Dr. Lithgow presented places in the specification as to support. The examiner and Anthony Caputa stated they will contact Ms. Adriano and Dr. Lithgow on 3/24. The examiner contacted Ms. Adriano and an interview was set up for 3/25. On 3/25 the examiner stated that the amendment to claim 70 will be considered and the response filed with the amendment to claim 70 needs to be written to include a cohesive reply that includes a discussion of "agents" and fragments and Figure 50. A response will be forthcoming .